

Computational Biology (BIOSC 1540)

Lecture 03B

Genome assembly

Methodology

Jan 23, 2025

Announcements

- Assignments Assignment P01B is due Friday (Jan 24)
	- Assignment P01C is due next Friday (Jan 31)

[Quiz 01](https://pitt-biosc1540-2025s.oasci.org/assessments/quizzes/01/) is next week (Jan 28) and will cover lectures [02A](https://pitt-biosc1540-2025s.oasci.org/lectures/02A/) to [03B](https://pitt-biosc1540-2025s.oasci.org/lectures/03B/) **Quizzes**

CBytes

- [CByte 01](https://pitt-biosc1540-2025s.oasci.org/cbytes/01/) is live and will expire on Feb 1
- [CByte 02](https://pitt-biosc1540-2025s.oasci.org/cbytes/02/) will be released Friday (Jan 24) and expire on Feb 7

Next reward: [Checkpoint Submission Feedback](https://pitt-biosc1540-2025s.oasci.org/cbytes/#advanced-training-points-atp)

ATP until the next reward: 1,903

Quick homework tip

When asking for **five FASTQ entries**, here is what it should look like

. . .

- fastq $five = " " "$ 1
- @synthetic_read_1/f 2
- TACGGCTAGGCATCTCGAGATCTGTGACGTTTCAGATCCCCTGCTGCGTGCGTTTGATGTCCAACTGTCGTACTCACGCCGGACGGGGAGTAACTTCTTTTCGAGCCGTAGTT 3
- + 4
- 46:47287653825380557902185865586;11784536:8>:7946436;67:04>8671293:53991474581727927476120866:4;;4418895672645233 5
- @synthetic_read_2/f 6
- GACGATCGTAGCTCAGTCGGACCAACGACTCGCTGCTTACTGGAAGATCCTCGTAGACGGTTTTTTTGCGAAAGTACAGGCGACCCAGTACAAATCGGGATAGTGGTCACTTA + 7 8
- GGDIHIFGEHGGIGGIHGFGIIFIHFDEFEFCCFFIIHIGIEEFIEFFICDGFHICFEICGGFFEIEEFGIFGFIHIIBDGHIGHIIGGGHFGIEHIIIDIIECAIHDHCEDE 9
- @synthetic_read_3/f 10
- CGTAGCTGACGTAGATTCGATTTAAGAAACGCAGATATGGACATTGTCGCCGTGCCTTTATATTCCACATATCGTGGTAATCATACCGGCATAGGGTCATGTCCGCAGCTGTC 11
- $+$ 12

:=9<<:7<9::=<?<<6;;=;?;<7;9=9?6:8;8A9:=>=<:A79;=>=;:==:<4::7<9?E4<9;;:97=<7@9;8?@<7999:A9:=;6:?>:@988A?97=A>=@:;9 13

@synthetic_read_4/f 14

TACGGCTAGGCACGTTTTCAGCAATCACGCGTGAGAATGCAATACAGCTGAGTATAGGTGGCCGGGCGTACGTTTCTACGTGAGCATGTTTTTTTATTACAGAGTACCGGTAG + 15 16

- >:A@=@=<ABB><=:==?>@=><<<9=?3:>@CHD;?=7:@?6G<8<@?AEE<=?;<;C<66B3>>>>=8488<8>?@9>43>?A?A61:@8;:6@97;825=>7>8><1<85 17
- @synthetic_read_5/f 18
- GTACGATCGTACCTGCGTACAAAACAGTTTCGGGGTCCAAACCACGCCTCAACTGTTCTCGGTTAGTACCGTAGCTACACTCGGTCTATCTGTCAGCTGCCGTTCATTCGAGC 19
- + 20

78<8675<68;9;9<72;4==:689<;95=5;?76:57<16;:4@;9.=:1:;?<49;89;0<>?6327778:8:518?7=79:6:<7><A@16:65<98:6<7446<;@9=9 21

 $" " " " " "$ 22

Problem formulation of genome assembly

Why we need genome assembly

Genome assembly **reconstructs a long DNA sequence** from **short, error-prone reads**, ensuring as many reads fit into the final sequence

TACGATCGGATTACGCGTAGGCTAGCTTACGGACTCGATGTACGATCGGATTACG

DNA sequence (i.e., contig)

Recap from [L03A](https://pitt-biosc1540-2025s.oasci.org/lectures/03A/)

Problem formulation of genome assembly

Assumptions

We make simplifying assumptions to address challenges and make assembly tractable

Reads originate from a single, contiguous genome

If we had **two sources of DNA**

+

Chance of overlap is likely, and it would be **challenging to differentiate the origin of each read**

Sequencing coverage is sufficient for redundancy and error correction

Assume that we have **high coverage**

TACGATCGGATTACGCGTAGGCTAGCTTACGGACTCGATGTACGATCGGATTACGCGTAGG

Real sequencing errors can be fixed in high-coverage areas

Real SNPs can be confidently detected when all reads have the same base

What if your sequencing data does not meet these assumptions?

This happens all the time in science!

If you more robust options are available, using those may be required

If there is no other option, use the best approach and disclose how this could impact your results and interpretation

Problem formulation of genome assembly

String manipulation in Python

Review: DNA sequences are represented as strings in Python

A DNA sequence is simply a sequence of letters: A, T, C, and G. In Python, we can represent this using quotation marks ("" or '').

 $real1 = "ATCG"$ $2 \text{ read2} = "TCGA"$ 1

Comparing strings allows us to detect similarities or differences between DNA reads

To compare strings, we can use the equality operator $==$

\bullet \bullet \bullet

```
1 \text{ read1} = "ATCG"2 \text{ read2} = "TCGA"4 # Compare two strings
9 1 read1 = "ATCG"<br>
2 read2 = "TCGA"<br>
3<br>
4 # Compare two strings<br>
5 print(read1 == read2) # Output: False
3
```
...

```
1 \text{ read } 1 = "ATCG"2 \text{ read2} = "ATCG"4 # Compare two strings
9 1 read1 = "ATCG"<br>
2 read2 = "ATCG"<br>
3<br>
4 # Compare two strings<br>
5 print(read1 == read2) # Output: True
3
```
We can extract parts of a string using indices in Python

Python does not include the stop index

We can use loops to check every position in a string

Use a for loop to go through each character one by one

You can also slice inside of a for loop with an index

range(len(read))

. . . $1 \text{ read} = "ATCG"$ 2 3 for i in range(len(read)): 4 # Print substrings starting at index i 5 $print(read[i:])$ # Output: 6 7 $#$ ATCG # TCG 8 # CG 9 10 # G

generates integers from 0 until the length of the read (in this case 4)

Comparing parts of strings allows us to find overlaps between DNA reads

Let's find where read1 overlaps with read2

```
\bullet\bullet\bullet1 \text{ read1} = "ATCG"2 \text{ read2} = "TCGA"3
4 for i in range(len(read1)):
       if read1[i:] == read2[:len(read1) - i]:5
6
             print(f"Overlap found: {read1[i:]}")
7
            break
8 # Output: Overlap found: TCG
```
When $i = 0$:

- read1[0:] gives us "ATCG" (the full string)
- read2 [:4] gives us "TCGA" (first 4 characters)
- Comparison: "ATCG" == "TCGA"
- **Result:** No match

Comparing parts of strings allows us to find overlaps between DNA reads

... $1 \text{ read1} = "ATCG"$ $2 \text{ read2} = "TCGA"$ 3 4 for i in range(len(read1)): if $read[i:] == read2[:len(read1) - i]:$ 5 print(f"Overlap found: {read1[i:]}") 6 break 7 8 # Output: Overlap found: TCG

Next is $i = 1$:

- read1[1:] gives us "TCG" (excluding 'A')
- read2[:3] gives us "TCG" (first 3 characters)
- Comparison: "TCG" == "TCG"
- **Result: Match found!**

Comparing parts of strings allows us to find overlaps between DNA reads

Once we find the overlap, we can merge the reads

We can use this approach of **finding overlaps** and **merging reads** to form a contig

. . .

```
2 \text{ read2} = "TCGA"i = 1merged = read1[:i] + read2print(merged)
  # Output: ATCGA
1 \text{ read1} = "ATCG"3
4
5
6
7
8
```
This idea of **finding overlaps and merging** motivates our first assembly approach: the **greedy algorithm**

The greedy algorithm for genome assembly

Overlaps and merges

The greedy algorithm builds genome assemblies by iteratively merging the best overlaps

Algorithm

- **1.** Check every possible read for the largest overlap.
- **2.** Merge the two reads with largest overlap.
- **3.** Repeat until no further merges are possible.

At the end, we have a set of contigs that represent our original DNA sequence

The greedy algorithm minimizes repeats by maximizing overlap

A **superstring** is a single string that contains all reads as substrings

Example: AC**GT**AC is a superstring of AC**GT**, C**GT**A, **GT**AC

The greedy algorithm aims to find the *shortest superstring*, which minimizes unnecessary duplication.

The greedy algorithm focuses on **selecting the best immediate option** (i.e., local optimal) at each step **without full consideration of the overall global solution**

This means the greedy algorithm will always make the best move in the moment even if it gives the wrong final answer

Being greedy makes genome assembly tractable

Rounds of merging, one merge per line.

Number in first column = length of overlap merged before that round.

The greedy algorithm for genome assembly

Breaking ties

Tie-breaking rules are necessary when overlaps are identical

Both have a length of 9, which one is the correct move?

Talk with your neighbors

Tie breakers are a personal preference

First encountered, first merged Highest quality base calls Highest coverage Look ahead Exclude The one you found first Use sequence with highest quality Whichever results in more coverage Do both and evaluate consequences Be petty and don't merge them (separate contigs)

The greedy algorithm for genome assembly

Trouble with repeats

Greedy assembly will incorrectly collapse repeats if possible

Let's take a string and cyclically permute it with $k = 6$

a_long_long_long_time

ng lon long a long long l ong ti ong lo long t g long g time ng tim ng time ng lon long a long long l ong ti ong lo long t g long ng_time g_long_ ng_lon a_long long_l ong_ti ong_lo long_t ng time long ti g long ng lon a long long l ong lo ng_time ong_lon long_ti g_long_ a_long long_l ong lon long_time g_long_ a_long long_l long_lon long_time g_long_ a_long Then perform the greedy algorithm long_lon g_long_time a_long long_long_time a_long a_long_long_time We are missing a "_long". **Why?** a long long time

Longer reads and genome assembly

a_long_long_long_time $k = 8$

long_lon ng_long_ _long_lo g_long_t ong_long g_long_l ong_time a_long_l _long_ti long_tim long_time long_lon ng_long_ _long_lo g_long_t ong_long g_long_l a_long_l _long_ti _long_time long_lon ng_long_ _long_lo g_long_t ong_long g_long_l a_long_l _long_time a_long_lo long_lon ng_long_ g_long_t ong_long g_long_l _long_time ong_long_ a_long_lo long_lon g_long_t g_long_l g_long_time ong_long_ a_long_lo long_lon g_long_l g_long_time ong_long_ a_long_lon g_long_l g_long_time ong_long_l a_long_lon We get the correct string back, but how g_long_time a_long_long_l did increasing our k fix this? a_long_long_long_time a_long_long_long_time

By having one read span all three "long"s, (i.e., the repeating region) we prevented a collapse

Remember: This is why long sequencing reads are very helpful in resolving repeats!

a_long_long_long_time

 g long 1

De Bruijn graphs and their role in assembly

K-mers

The greedy algorithm provides insights but is rarely used in modern genome assembly

The greedy approach is computationally efficient but fails for large, complex genomes.

Finding overlaps between all reads scales poorly with genome size

Full pairwise comparisons between reads require $\ O(n^2)$ operations where *n* is the number of reads

As our number of reads increases, our time to find overlaps dramatically increases

However, the number of reads also improves our assembly

k-mers break reads into manageable, fixed-length pieces

Instead of comparing whole sequences, we can compare k-mers!

A k-mer is a substring of length *k* extracted from a sequence

Example: For the sequence **ATCGT**, the 3-mers are **ATC, TCG, CGT**.

By decomposing reads into k-mers, we can:

- Represent sequences as collections of overlapping k-mers.
- Avoid comparing entire reads by focusing on k-mer matches.
- Use fixed-length k-mers to tolerate sequencing errors in overlaps.
- Number of reads does not change number of k-mers

Building k-mers from a string

GGCGATTCATCG Spectrum with k = 3

- 1. Slice first k characters
- 2. Shift right one character
- 3. Repeat

GGC GCG CGA TCG ATC GAT ATT TTC TCA CAT

All 3-mers

k-mers are robust to sequencing errors

Sequencing errors affect only a few k-mers in a read, not the entire sequence.

Even if a single read has errors, most k-mers will match correctly to others.

Longer k-mers provide specificity, while shorter k-mers ensure sensitivity.

```
Read:
        GCGTATTACGCGTCTGGCCT
                                  (20<sub>nt</sub>)Read:
                                                                             GCGTACTACGCGTCTGGCCT
         GCGTATTA: 8
                                                                             GCGTACTA: 1
                                                                                                                 k-mer count profile has
                                                                              CGTACTAC: 2
          CGTATTAC: 8
                                                                                                Below average
                                                                                                                 corresponding stretch of
                                                                               GTACTACG: 1
           GTATTACG: 9
                                                                                                                 below-average counts
                                                                                TACTACGC: 1
            TATTACGC: 9
                                # times each 8-mer
                                                                                 ACTACGCG: 2
             ATTACGCG: 10
                                occurs in the reads.
                                                                                  CTACGCGT: 1
              TTACGCGT: 10
                                "k-mer count profile"
     8-mers:
                                                                                   TACGCGTC: 9
               TACGCGTC: 11
                                                                                     ACGCGTCT: 8
                 ACGCGTCT: 11
                                                                                      CGCGTCTG: 10
                  CGCGTCTG: 10
                                                                                                          Around average
                                                                                       GCGTCTGG: 10
                   GCGTCTGG: 10
                                          All 8-mer counts are near
                                                                                        CGTCTGGC: 11
                    CGTCTGGC: 11
                                          average, suggesting read is
                                                                                         GTCTGGCC: 9
                     GTCTGGCC: 9
                                          error-free
                                                                                          TCTGGCCT: 8
                       TCTGGCCT: 8
```
De Bruijn graphs and their role in assembly

Building graphs

Graphs is a data structure for drawing relationships between items

Represents a single entity

- Person
- Location
- Protein
- Sequencing read

Edge

Represents a connection (possibly with a direction)

- Instagram follower
- Flights
- Protein-protein interaction
- Sequence overlap

Genome assembly uses direct edges to specify overlap and concatenation

Let's build a **directed multigraph:** "tomorrow and tomorrow and tomorrow"

1. Each unique k-mer is a node 2. Add directed edges for each overlap and concatenation

K-mer is a substring of length k

down just unique words)

Build a De Bruijn graph with k-1 nodes

AATGGCGTA 5' 3'

AATG ATGG TGGG GGCG GCGT CGTA Step 1: Build k-mersLet's use $k = 4$

Step 2: Take left and right k-1 mer and make two connected nodes

Step 3: Repeat

Building De Bruijn graphs with a read

Build a De Bruijn graph with $k = 3$

CGTAAAT

De Bruijn graphs with multiple reads

5' AATGGCGTA 3' 5' CGTAAAT 3' Read 1 *Read 1* **Read 2 Let's use nodes of length 4**

Frist, build the De Bruijn graph for **Read 1**

Add edges and any new k-mers from **Read 2**

AAT ATG TGG GGC GCG CGT GTA TAA AAA

Note: This is a circular genome

Another example, but not circular

We can add weights to edges instead of drawing multiple edges

Another (another) example, but not circular

GATTAC TACAGATT AGATTAC TACCGG GGATTA

De Bruijn graphs is one of the most missed questions on assessments, let's get some practice

The solution is on the next slide (no peeking!)

Another example, but not circular

 $TACC \rightarrow ACCG \rightarrow CCGG$

De Bruijn graphs and their role in assembly

Characteristics

De Bruijn graphs and their role in assembly

Graph data structures in Python

Graph representation in Python

Adjacency lists can be used to computationally represent graphs

Perhaps conceptually helpful for CByte 02!

Graph traversal methods for extracting contigs

De Bruijn graphs are traversed to extract contiguous genome sequences

Traversal is the process of finding contigs (continuous DNA sequences) by walking through the De Bruijn graph

 $TACC \rightarrow ACCG \rightarrow CCGG$

Nodes: Represent k-mers derived from sequencing reads.

Edges: Represent k-mer overlaps between nodes.

Standard traversal methods, such as breadth-first search (BFS) and depth-first search (DFS), are building blocks for more advanced assembly techniques.

DFS explores as far as possible along each branch before backtracking

Imagine exploring a maze with this strategy:

- Keep walking forward until you hit a dead end
- Backtrack only when necessary
- Take the first unexplored path you see A

DFS Traversal from A (one possible order):

1. A \rightarrow B \rightarrow D (follow first path to end) 2. Backtrack to A $3. A \rightarrow C \rightarrow E$ 4. Backtrack to C 5. $C \rightarrow F$

BFS explores all neighbors of a node before moving deeper into the graph

Imagine you're dropping a pebble in a pond:

- First, you see ripples reach nearby points
- Then, they spread outward in circles
- Each "wave" represents a level of exploration

DFS Traversal from A (one possible order):

1. A \rightarrow B \rightarrow D (follow first path to end) 2. Backtrack to A $3. A \rightarrow C \rightarrow E$ 4. Backtrack to C 5. $C \rightarrow F$

Standard traversal methods struggle with genome assembly challenges

- Repeats, cycles, and ambiguous paths in De Bruijn graphs complicate DFS and BFS.
- Genome assembly requires visiting all overlaps (edges) or all reads (nodes) systematically.
- Specialized traversal methods, like Eulerian and Hamiltonian paths, address these challenges.

Before the next class, you should

Lecture 04A: Genome annotation - Foundations **Lecture 03B:** Genome assembly - Methodology Today Tuesday **Quiz 01**

- Finishand submit P01B (due Jan 24)
- StartP01C (due Jan 31)
- Workon CByte 01 and [CByte 02](https://pitt-biosc1540-2025s.oasci.org/cbytes/02/)
- ReviewLectures 02A, 02B, 03A, and 03B for quiz (Jan 28)